Crandall Independent School District Crandall Middle School 2020-2021 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster



Board Approval Date: October 19, 2020

Mission Statement

Crandall Middle School will provide each student an exceptional education, in an inspiring environment, with caring people.

Vision

Crandall Middle School will empower students to positively impact the world.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	-т Д
Student Learning	
	5 7
School Processes & Programs	/
Priority Problem Statements	8
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	9
Goals	11
Goal 1: CMS will offer strong educational programs by equipping staff and students with tools and support to prepare each CISD learner to be a lifelong learner and	
productive citizen.	11
Goal 2: CMS will train, support and retain staff who are vested in educating all students through teamwork, resourcefulness and problem solving.	19
Goal 3: CMS will provide an inclusive, safe and secure environment where staff and students thrive with Pirate Pride.	23
Goal 4: CMS will foster respectful, compassionate and honest communication between staff, students, parents and community to unify our school district.	28
Goal 5: CMS will allocate funds to develop all students to achieve the CISD Learner Profile.	29
Targeted Support Strategies	32
Addendums	33

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Crandall Middle School is a 7-8 campus with 718 students. The staff includes 41 teachers, 6 instructional paraprofessionals, 6 support staff, 2 counselors, and 3 administrators. The student population is 43% White, 20% African American, 32% Hispanic, 0.14% Asian, and 0.14% Native American. Additionally, the campus serves 52% economically disadvantaged students, 11% special education students, and 11% Limited English Proficient students. Attendance rates include 96.3% African American, 96.8% Hispanic, 96.2% White, and 96.1% economically disadvantaged. The most current data indicate the campus has a 14% mobility rate.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Campus staff does not mirror the student population Root Cause: Difficulty recruiting, highly qualified staff

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

 Domain 2 A-69 (75)

 Domain 1 - 45 (76) - Goal of
 Domain 2 B 45 (74) - Goal of
 Domain 3 - 37 (72)

 80
 Domain 2 B 45 (74) - Goal of
 Goal of 80
 Goal of 8

CMS 2019 TAPR

Due to Covid-19 the data listed is reflective of STAAR Assessment 2018-19 school year.

Student Learning Strengths

7th grade reading scores in all performance categories have improved from 18-19.

7th grade math scores in all performance categories have improved from 18-19 in approaches and meets performance levels.

7th grade writing is greater than or equal to the State avg in all performance levels.

8th grade reading is greater than or equal to the State avg in Approaches Grade Level. Scores in all performance categories have improved from 18-19.

8th grade math scores have improved in all performance levels from 18-19.

8th grade science is greater than or equal to the State avg in all performance levels. Scores in all performance categories have improved from 18-19.

8th grade SS scores in all performance categories have improved from 18-19.

Algebra scores are greater than or equal the State avg in all performance levels. Scores in all performance categories have improved from 18-19.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in math. **Root Cause:** The lack of resources to implement a strategic plan for addressing instructional gaps with fidelity.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in 7th grade reading. Root Cause: The lack of

resources to implement a strategic plan for addressing instructional gaps with fidelity.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in 7th grade writing. **Root Cause:** The absence of alignment within elementary campuses in writing curriculum expectations K-7 and cross curricular daily writing opportunities in all subject areas.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in 8th grade social studies. Root Cause: The lack of student foundational knowledge in US History.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Instructional/Curricular	Personnel	Organizational	Administrative
*objective board/esst question	*survey for qualities of a CMS teacher	*team drive	*tiered approach to supervision
*model lead4ward strategies at staff meetings	*interview committee	*campus-wide expectations	*observation rotation with feedback
*strategies playlist	*Spark virtual interview platform	*block approach to ELAR	*weekly ILT meetings
*data folders for ELLs	*Pirate of the Week	*intervention electives	*TTESS goal setting support
*ind student goal setting	*campus mentor for new staff	*Thrively elective	*designate coaching days
*cross-curricular support for core contents	*district new teacher PD	*common planning time	*Parent 411
*CBA data dives	*drops/thank yous/affirmations	*google classroom 1:1	*virtual field trip
*MAP for grouping	*instructional playbook team	*relational practices	
*manding intervention and anon			

*reading intervention program

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Beginning with input from our staff, Crandall Middle School worked to identify qualities needed to be a successful teacher. This criteria was a driving force behind the newly formed hiring committee during the recruitment, interviewing and hiring process with new staff. New staff are partnered with a mentor on campus and meet periodically to help support and strengthen their foundation in best teaching practices. Keeping our students in mind, a "Playbook Team" created a strategies playlist to help narrow the focus and guide our staff in a campus-wide instructional system much like our campus CREW model for behavior expectations. Understanding the increased need for social-emotional development, CMS utilizes a 15 minute block of time each day to deliver a lesson based on the 7 Mindsets, purchased by the district. Pride Time (15 minute block) also allows time for students and staff to support campus initiatives such as: community outreach, various appreciation days, RRW activities, bullying prevention, etc. Innovation is a new elective created to offer opportunities to students to explore PBL, career developments, various self-interest inventories, etc through a grant purchased program, Thrively.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in 8th grade social studies.Root Cause 1: The lack of student foundational knowledge in US History.Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in math.Root Cause 2: The lack of resources to implement a strategic plan for addressing instructional gaps with fidelity.Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in 7th grade reading.Root Cause 3: The lack of resources to implement a strategic plan for addressing instructional gaps with fidelity.Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in 7th grade writing.Root Cause 4: The absence of alignment within elementary campuses in writing curriculum expectations K-7 and cross curricular daily writing opportunities in all subject areas.Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: Campus staff does not mirror the student populationRoot Cause 5: Difficulty recruiting, highly qualified staffProblem Statement 5 Areas: Demographics

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

• District goals

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data

Student Data: Assessments

- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- Local benchmark or common assessments data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Dyslexia Data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Class size averages by grade and subject

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus leadership data
- · Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

Crandall Middle School Generated by Plan4Learning.com • Communications data

Goals

Revised/Approved: October 9, 2020

Goal 1: CMS will offer strong educational programs by equipping staff and students with tools and support to prepare each CISD learner to be a lifelong learner and productive citizen.

Performance Objective 1: Adoption of Lowman Social Studies curriculum to be implemented in 7th and 8th grade social studies classes. The goal is to improve student achievement by 15% in the area of social studies as reflected in CBA and STAAR testing.

Evaluation Data Sources: CBA and STAAR testing

Summative Evaluation: Met Objective

Strategy 1: Training through PLCs, professional development opportunities, monitoring implementation and providing		Revi	iews	
ongoing feedback for Social Studies staff.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in scores	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Social Studies Staff, Asst. Principal				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 4				
Strategy 2: The instructional strategy Talk Read, Talk Write is implemented across contents to strengthen reading, writing,		Revi	iews	
and speaking in ELL students.		Formative Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased achievement-success for ELL students	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff, Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disconti	inue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

 Student Learning

 Problem Statement 4: There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in 8th grade social studies. Root Cause: The lack of student foundational knowledge in US History.

Performance Objective 2: Implementation of campus wide instructional strategies playbook.

Evaluation Data Sources: Walkthroughs, T-TESS, PLCs, Reflective practices, lesson plans.

Strategy 1: Campus professional development at the beginning of the year, provides training to implement instructional		Rev	iews	
strategies.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student engagement and overall student success.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff and Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
Strategy 2: Increase instructional inclusion support for SPED students who are not successful in the general education		Rev	iews	
setting.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased achievement-success for SPED students.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All content/SPED staff and Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Disconti	nue		

Performance Objective 3: Implementing SEL curriculum through Pride Time and an elective class (Innovation course) utilizing chrome books.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Student portfolios in Thrively. Student surveys and participation in campus initiatives.

Strategy 1: Implementing Thrively as an SEL platform. (Innovation course)	Reviews			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student will develop SEL skills to resolve conflicts, improve problem solving,		Formative		Summative
set goals, and develop-manage coping strategies.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff and Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Image: Weight of the second	X Disconti	nue		

Performance Objective 4: Continue to provide Campus leadership opportunities to build capacity among our staff.

Evaluation Data Sources: T-TESS, PLCs, Staff Development, Mentoring, Coaching

Strategy 1: Teachers will expand their learning capacity by attending professional development.		Revi	iews	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the number of teachers willing and equipped to take on leadership		Formative		Summative
roles.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership Team				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive				
School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disconti	nue		

Performance Objective 5: Increase student achievement in 7th grade reading in all STAAR performance levels from 76-46-25 to 90-60-30.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: TAPR, Benchmarks, CBA, STAAR,

Strategy 1: Strategic scheduling-blocking ELAR student to provide integrated instruction aligned to new ELAR TEKS.		Rev	iews	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student success		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELAR team and Leadership team	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
 Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 2, 3 				
Strategy 2: Provide additional planning time for ELAR staff.		Rev	iews	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Intentional in planning effective research-based lessons to meet the needs of		Formative		Summative
our diverse learners.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELAR, Leadership Team				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 2, 3				
No Progress ONO Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue		

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in 7th grade reading. **Root Cause**: The lack of resources to implement a strategic plan for addressing instructional gaps with fidelity.

Problem Statement 3: There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in 7th grade writing. **Root Cause**: The absence of alignment within elementary campuses in writing curriculum expectations K-7 and cross curricular daily writing opportunities in all subject areas.

Performance Objective 6: Increase student achievement in math for all STAAR performance levels from 76-39-16 to 90-60-30.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: TAPR, Benchmarks, CBA, STAAR

Strategy 1: A math intervention period was added for students who performed below grade level as identified by MAP,		Rev	iews	
CBAs, and STAAR.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student achievement	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math teachers, leadership team, Instructional coaches				
 Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue		

Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: There is a discrepancy between the campus student achievement and state achievement in math. **Root Cause**: The lack of resources to implement a strategic plan for addressing instructional gaps with fidelity.

Performance Objective 7: English Language Learners will improve reading and writing skills.

Evaluation Data Sources: Increased scores in CBAs, MAPs, TELPAS, and STAAR testing.

Strategy 1: Sheltered instruction will be utilized in the classrooms.		Rev	iews	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased scores		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administrators,	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	0%	0%	0%	
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disconti	nue		

Performance Objective 8: Teachers will be given feedback in a two week cycle to produce high quality objective driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Lesson plans and Walkthroughs

Strategy 1: Monitoring and feedback will be provided to all teachers. New teachers will be trained in the campus system.		Rev	iews	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: High Quality lessons		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrative Team	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers	0%	0%	0%	
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Disconti	nue		

Performance Objective 1: Utilize a campus interview team that assisted in the interview, selection, and follow up of applicants.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Frontline, Zoom, Personality Assessment

Strategy 1: Utilize Spark Hire as a new screening tool to hire high quality candidates.		Revi	iews	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Minimize the amount of time spent on entry level interviews.		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration and interview committee	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers - Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 1: Campus staff does not mirror the student population Root Cause: Difficulty recruiting, highly qualified staff

Performance Objective 2: Retain high quality staff by creating a CMS teacher profile based on input from all staff.

Evaluation Data Sources: Staff survey

Strategy 1: Teachers were surveyed regarding; qualities of an effective teacher, attributes in a teaching partner and campus	Reviews			
specific traits to help teachers be successful.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff will help select and support based on those identified common skills	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff and administration				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive				
School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disconti	nue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 1: Campus staff does not mirror the student population Root Cause: Difficulty recruiting, highly qualified staff

Performance Objective 3: Utilize common planning time for content teams.

Evaluation Data Sources: Master schedule, planning agendas, lesson plans

Strategy 1: Setting agendas for the meetings to prompt discussion of lesson planning, student learning, and facilitation of	Reviews			
learning.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Productive planning time.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrative Teams				
	0%	0%	0%	
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disconti	nue		

Performance Objective 4: Teachers will provide differentiation for gifted and talented students.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Evidence in lesson plans and walkthroughs.

Strategy 1: Teachers will incorporate project based learning from Thrively into their lesson plans to provide		Rev	iews	
differentiation.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students are moving into projects when they are completed with their work.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: General Education, Administration Team				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	0%	0%	0%	
$\textcircled{0\%} \text{ No Progress} \qquad \textcircled{0\%} \text{ Accomplished} \qquad \longrightarrow \texttt{Continue/Modify}$	X Disconti	nue		

Performance Objective 1: Campus staff and students practice COVID-19 health and safety protocols to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 on campus.

Evaluation Data Sources: Nurse reports, self-reporting, and temperature checks.

Strategy 1: Campus staff and students will wear appropriate masks, exercise temperature checks, and complete self	Reviews			
screeners.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in lab confirmed COVID-19 cases.	Nov	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All campus staff, nurse, and Administrators.				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1				
Strategy 2: Temperature and Self-Screeners	Reviews			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in lab confirmed COVID-19 cases.	Formative Summ			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All campus staff, nurse, and Administrators.	Nov Jan Mar			June
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Disconti	nue		·

Performance Objective 2: Conduct all required Standard Response Protocols required throughout school year

Evaluation Data Sources: Evidence and documentation of all drills and documented protocols conducted

Strategy 1: Conduct all required Standard Res	sponse Protoc	ols (SRP) drills throughout	t school year		Reviews		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sa	fe and Respor	nsive school			Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Cam	npus Administ	ration		Nov	Jan	Mar	June
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School C	ulture						
0% No P	rogress	Accomplished		X Discont	inue		

Performance Objective 3: The campus will partner with local agencies to increase safety measures for the campus.

Evaluation Data Sources: Visits from first responders from local agencies, School Resource Officer, Administration (Campus and District)

Strategy 1: The district will provide the campus with a school resource officer.	Reviews			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased safety and security of campus building and student/staff safety.		Summative		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrative Teams (District and Campus)	Nov Jan Mar			June
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2: Continue to support local agencies and partnered first responders groups.		Rev	views	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Building community relationships and fostering a safe community for staff and	Formative Su			Summative
students	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Staff				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Disconti	nue		

Performance Objective 4: The campus will provide teachers and students with safety protocols in regards to student safety and emotional well being

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Signs of Suicide Trainings and Data, Bark Data, Staff and Student Survey

Strategy 1: All staff and students will be trained in Signs of Suicide Training	Reviews				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased number of students experiencing problems with emotional well-		Formative		Summative	
being.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration and Counselors					
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1 - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 2: Bark will be used to notify campus leaders, school resource officer, and parents of troubling internet searches	Reviews				
on district devices.	Formative			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student monitoring or viewing troubling content on internet	Nov Jan Mar			June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Counselors, Teachers, Parents					
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 3: Teachers will be trained in Positive Behavior Intervention Systems to communicate campus and classroom		Rev	views		
expectations.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in office referrals	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principals					
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture	0%	0%	0%		
No Progress Occomplished - Continue/Modify	X Disconti	inue	•		

Performance Objective 5: Utilize Pride Time and Thrively to educate and address current trends impacting students.

Evaluation Data Sources: Pride Time survey, parent feedback, student feedback, Thrively reports and BARK data

Strategy 1: This time will address SEL concepts prescribed by Thrively.	Reviews			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased self awareness and goal setting		Formative		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Staff and Administrative Team	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	0%	0%	0%	
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	🗙 Disconti	nue		

Goal 4: CMS will foster respectful, compassionate and honest communication between staff, students, parents and community to unify our school district.

Performance Objective 1: Maintain through continuously monitoring campus systems related to creating positive interactions with all stakeholders.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Surveys, Social media, Skyward, Smore, Bark

Strategy 1: Systems housed in google team drive for accessibility for internal stakeholders to reference.	Reviews			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased understanding and compliance of campus systems.		Summative		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership team	Nov	June		
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
Strategy 2: Communicate campus systems with external stakeholders by hosting-posting virtual 411.		Rev	views	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased understanding and compliance of campus systems.	Formative Sur			Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership team	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1, 3.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy				
	-	-	•	•

Goal 5: CMS will allocate funds to develop all students to achieve the CISD Learner Profile.

Performance Objective 1: Campus expenses focused on instructional needs to support student and teacher needs.

Evaluation Data Sources: Management of Skyward Business System

Strategy 1: Purchase Orders will be reviewed before submission to check for instructional need.		Rev	iews	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 85% of campus funds will be spent on instructional needs.		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Secretary and Principal	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
Image: Weight of the second	X Disconti	nue		

Goal 5: CMS will allocate funds to develop all students to achieve the CISD Learner Profile.

Performance Objective 2: All renewals of software programs will be evaluated for campus usage to effectively manage district funds.

Evaluation Data Sources: Skyward reports, Software reports, and teacher survey

Strategy 1: Campus administration will send teacher surveys when analyzing renewals of software programs to determ	nine	Reviews		
effectiveness.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Maximize student usage in purchased software programs.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Administrators				
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Disco	ntinue		

Goal 5: CMS will allocate funds to develop all students to achieve the CISD Learner Profile.

Performance Objective 3: All essential purchases for health and safety protocols utilized for COVID-19 are identified when submitting purchase orders.

Evaluation Data Sources: Skyward reports

Strategy 1: Prioritize essential purchases for Health and		Reviews				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Focused purc		Formative				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Students				Jan	Mar	June
0% No Progress	Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue	1	<u> </u>

Targeted Support Strategies

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description		
1	1	1	Training through PLCs, professional development opportunities, monitoring implementation and providing ongoing feedback for Social Studies staff.		
1	1	2	The instructional strategy Talk Read, Talk Write is implemented across contents to strengthen reading, writing, and speaking in ELL students.		
1	2	1	Campus professional development at the beginning of the year, provides training to implement instructional strategies.		
1	2	2	Increase instructional inclusion support for SPED students who are not successful in the general education setting.		
1	5	1	Strategic scheduling-blocking ELAR student to provide integrated instruction aligned to new ELAR TEKS.		
1	5	2	Provide additional planning time for ELAR staff.		
1	6	1	A math intervention period was added for students who performed below grade level as identified by MAP, CBAs, and STAAR.		
2	1	1	Utilize Spark Hire as a new screening tool to hire high quality candidates.		
2	2	1	Teachers were surveyed regarding; qualities of an effective teacher, attributes in a teaching partner and campus specifi traits to help teachers be successful.		
4	1	1	Systems housed in google team drive for accessibility for internal stakeholders to reference.		
4	1	2	Communicate campus systems with external stakeholders by hosting-posting virtual 411.		

Addendums